

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,397	04/19/2004	James Durham	H311030USCOM	9914
28079 7	590 10/11/2006		EXAM	INER
GOWLING, I ONE MAIN ST	LAFLEUR HENDERSC	LARSON, JUSTIN MATTHEW		
HAMILTON,			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			3782	
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				٤			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/826,397	DURHAM, JAMES				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Justin M. Larson	3727				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	<u>April 2004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application	1.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
·	The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 September 2004</u> is		objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreion All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bure						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies no	t received.				
			•				
Attachmen	• •	_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/16/04</u> .	6) 🗌 Other:	.				

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7/16/04 is noted. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the information disclosure statement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Viio (US 4,809,894 A).

Viio discloses a holder for an electric drill (col. 2 lines 46-50), said holder comprising a length of rigid wire shape to have a generally U-shaped horizontal base (10) having laterally spaced side portions connected by a bight portion at the rear, the base having an open front end, the side portions having ends remote from the bight portion extending into respective substantially vertical laterally spaced upright portions (13,14), and at least one of the upright portions extending at its upper end into a reverse bend (15,16) a downwardly extending clip portion (17,18) adjacent to the respective upright portion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Viio as applied above in view of Estabaya (US 6,994,238 B2).

Viio discloses a drill holder with the claimed structure and teaches that the drill holder is attached to the user's apparel, but fails to specifically state that the drill holder is attached to a user's belt or waistband. Viio also fails to recite the claimed components of an electric drill and the specific manner in which the drill is supported by the holder.

Regarding the holder being attached to a user's belt or waistband, Estabaya also discloses a drill holder having a bight (18) with an upright vertical arm having a bent clip portion and teaches that the bent clip portion is attached to a user's belt. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attached the drill holder of Viio to a user's belt, as taught by Estabaya, in order to allow a user wearing a belt to support their drill thereon while not being used.

Regarding the manner in which a drill is supported by the holder, Estabaya teaches that the drill is placed in the holder such that the handle extends downwardly through the base until the battery compartment (BH) catches within the bight of the base. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to place a drill in the holder of Viio such that the handle of the drill extended downwardly through the base until the battery compartment caught within the bight of the base, as taught by Estabaya, in order to effectively support the drill within the holder.

Regarding the specific components of the electric drill, Examiner takes Official Notice to the fact that it is old and well known in the art for electric drills to have motors, chucks, handles, and batteries. Support for this assertion can be found in the prior art of record. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an electric drill with these components since such drills are readily available for portable use.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin M. Larson whose telephone number is (571) 272-8649. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday, 7am 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on (571) 272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 3727

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JML 9/25/06 NATHAN J. NEWHOUSE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER